

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                            | FILING DATE           | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/075,333                                 | 02/14/2002            | Yonglin Huang        | 15436249251            | 8697             |
| 22913                                      | 7590 10/13/2004       |                      | EXAMINER               |                  |
| WORKMAN NYDEGGER (F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER & |                       |                      | KANG, JULIANA K        |                  |
| SEELEY)                                    | JTH TEMPLE            |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  | 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER |                      | 2874                   |                  |
| SALT LAKE                                  | CITY, UT 84111        |                      | DATE MAILED: 10/13/200 | 4                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   |   | lón            |
|--|---|---|----------------|
|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |                |
|  | 10/075,333  | HUANG ET AL.  |                |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner I have the   | Art Unit  | and a survival |
|  | Juliana K. Kang/ "  | 2874  |                |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with   | the correspondence addre  | ess            |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | 136(a). In no event, however, may a repl<br>ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (;<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH<br>e, cause the application to become ABAN | ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this comm  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nunication.    |
| Status   |   |   |                |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 J     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the second sec | s action is non-final.  Ince except for formal matter   | • •   | nerits is      |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |                |
| 4)  Claim(s) 1-6,8 and 10-62 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-6,8 and 10-62 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | wn from consideration.  |   |                |
| Application Papers   |   |   |                |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   | er.   |   |                |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc   | epted or b) objected to by  | the Examiner.   |                |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | *   | • •   |                |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct   | ,   | •   | • •            |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E  | xaminer. Note the attached C  | Trice Action or form PTO-   | ·152.          |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |                |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>   | ts have been received.<br>ts have been received in Appority documents have been re<br>nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | olication No eceived in this National Sta   | age            |
|  |   |   |                |
| Attachment(c)  |   |   |                |
| Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) T Interview Sur  | nmary (PTO-413)   |                |
| <ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>   | Paper No(s)/N   | Mail Date<br>rmal Patent Application (PTO-15  | 52)            |

Application/Control Number: 10/075,333

Art Unit: 2874

1. Applicant's communication filed on July 19, 2004, has been carefully reviewed by the Examiner. The arguments advanced therein, considered together with the amendments made to the claims, are persuasive and the rejections set forth in the previous Office action are withdrawn. In view of further search, however, and the consequent discovery of a relevant prior art document, a new rejection is set forth below. This action is **not** made final.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6, 11, 12, 22-24, 31, 32, 37, 38, 40, 41, 43, 48, 49, 51, 52, 54, 55, 59, 60, 61, and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Li et al (U.S. Patent 6,493,140 B1).

Regarding claims 1, 31, 32, 37, 38, 40, 41, 43, 48, 49, 51, 52, 54, 55, 59, 60, 61, 62, Li et al disclose an optical device comprising a first fiber coupling (240) optically coupled to a fiber (236), wherein the fiber is configured to propagate a beam of light; a beam splitter/combiner (238) optically coupled to the first fiber coupling, wherein the beam splitter/combiner is configure to split the beam of light into a first component of

Application/Control Number: 10/075,333 Page 3

Art Unit: 2874

light and a second component of light having different polarization states or to combine the first component of light and the second component of light into the beam of light; an isolator optically coupled to the beam splitter/combiner; and a second fiber coupling optically coupled to the isolator and optically coupled to a first additional fiber (254) and a second additional fiber (256), wherein the first additional fiber is configured to propagate the first component of light and the second additional fiber is configured to propagate the second component of light (see Fig. 6 and column 6 lines 40-65 and column 7 lines 8-11).

Regarding claims 2 and 6, Li et al's first fiber coupling comprising a GRIN lens (240). GRIN lenses collimate/focus a beam of light.

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Li et al disclose polishing the fiber end at an angle (see column 4 lines 53-58).

Regarding claims 11 and 12, Li et al disclose the first component of p-polarized and the second component of s-polarized beams.

Regarding claims 22-24, Li et al disclose the second fiber coupling comprising a second GRIN lens (250) (see column 6 lines 58-65).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,333 Page 4

Art Unit: 2874

5. Claims 5, 25-30, 33-36, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al.

Regarding claims 5 and 30, as described above, Li et al disclose the claimed invention including the polished fiber end at an angle to minimize the back reflections. However, Li et al do not teach having an AR coating at the fiber end. It is well known in the art to apply an AR coating at the end of fiber to minimize back reflections. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply an AR coating in Li et al for better coupling efficiency by further minimizing back reflections.

Regarding claims 25-27, Li et al do not specifically teach that the first additional and second additional fiber are single mode fibers, multimode fibers, or PM fibers.

Since applicant does not provide the criticality of having any particular fibers, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any type of well-known fibers such as single mode fibers, multimode fibers, or PM fibers in Li et al.

Regarding claims 28 and 29, Li et al show the first additional and second additional fiber ends that appear to be slanted at an angle. However, Li et al do not specifically state that they are polished at an angle. As described above, Li et al teach polishing the fiber (236) end at an angle to minimize back reflections. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to polish the first additional and second additional fiber ends at an angle to also minimize the back reflection.

Art Unit: 2874

Regarding claims 33-36, Li et al teach the use of the device in an optical communication systems, thus, use of the Lie et al's device as a passive device, coupling the device to a laser, using the device in an amplifier and an optical network would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

6. Claims 13-21, 39, 42, 44-47, 50, 53, 56-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al and further in view of Basting et al (U.S. Patent 6,515,741 B1).

As described above, Li et al teach using an isolator in the beam combiner/splitter. However, Li et al do not teach the specifics of the isolator. As taught in Basting et al using a Faraday isolator is well known in the art to allow a beam to pass in only in one direction (see column 3 lines 43-50). Thus, using a well-known Faraday isolator in Li et al would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art. Since Li et al's device is a bi-directional, configuring the isolator to support the beam transmissions of both directions using any device including mechanical and electrical devices would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in order to provide isolation in both directions. Also having a specific isolation including about 30dB would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

7. Claims 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al and further in view of Seitz et al (U.S. Patent 6,222,627 B1).

Application/Control Number: 10/075,333 Page 6

Art Unit: 2874

As described above Li et al disclose the claimed invention except the beam splitter/combiner comprising one of a Wollaston, Nicol and Rochon prism. As taught in Seitz et al, using a Wollaston prism comprised of two birefringent wedges aligned perpendicular to each other for a birefringent beam splitter and the birefringent beam combiner is known in the art (see column 1 lines 10-19). Since applicant does not provide the criticality of having any particular birefringent beam combiner/splitter, using any type of known birefringent beam combiner/splitter including a Wollaston prism in Li et al would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to combine and split a beam.

## Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Juliana K. Kang whose telephone number is (571) 272-2348. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. & Fri. 10:00-6:00 and Tue. & Thur. 10:00-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rod Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,333

Page 7

Art Unit: 2874

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Juliana Kang

October 11, 2004